



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR   | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/584,802  | 07/12/2006  | Jon Erik Brennvall     | 06085               | 3412             |
| 23338 7590 02/24/2009<br>DENNISON, SCHULTZ & MACDONALD<br>1727 KING STREET<br>SUITE 105<br>ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 |             |                        |                     |                  |
| EXAMINER<br>DESAL, NAISHADH N   |             |                        |                     |                  |
| ART UNIT<br>2834  |             | PAPER NUMBER           |                     |                  |
| MAIL DATE<br>02/24/2009   |             | DELIVERY MODE<br>PAPER |                     |                  |

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/584,802

**Applicant(s)**

BRENNVALL ET AL.

**Examiner**

NAISHADH N. DESAI

**Art Unit**

2834

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) NAISHADH N. DESAI.(3) Ira Shultz (reg# 28666).(2) Hanh Nguyen.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 17 February 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: Applicant showcased their re-illustration of US 3707924's Fig 20.

Claim(s) discussed: 15.

Identification of prior art discussed: US 3707924.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant to consider filing amendments to claims to overcome cited art to more clearly distinguish claimed invention, which may require a Request for Continued Examination. Further search and consideration may be required. No agreement was made.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Nguyen N Hanh/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2834

February 18, 2009